M.S. NON-THESIS FINAL MEETING in Integrative Biology

Student Name:	Date:						
Title of Final Report:							
Evaluation/Guidance	Does not meet Expectations	Meets Expectations	Exemplary Performance	Not Applicable			
1. Problem Definition: Has stated the research problem clearly, providing motivation for undertaking the research							
2. Literature and Previous Work: Demonstrated sound knowledge of literature in the area							
3. Impact of Proposed Research: Demonstrated the potential value of solution to the research problem							
4. Solution Approach: Has applied sound state-of-the field research methods/tools to solve the defined problem and has described the methods/tools effectively							
5. Results: Analyzed and interpreted research results/data effectively							
6. Quality of Written Communication: Communicates research results clearly and professionally in written form							
7. Quality of Oral Communication: Communicates research results clearly and professionally in oral form							
8. Critical Thinking: Has demonstrated capability for independent research in the area of study and expertise in the area							
9. Broader Impact: Demonstrated awareness of broader implications of the concluded research. Broader							

Overall Assessment: The assessment of the overall performance of the candidate based on the evidence provided in items 1-9 above.

CDITEDIA	PERFORMANCE RATINGS for FINAL REPORT			
CRITERIA	Does NOT PASS	PASSE	S	
OVERALL, the rating of the final committee	Does not meet expectations	Meets expectations	Exemplary performance	
meeting indicates that it:				

Name of the GCR Committee Member:	
Signature of the GCR Committee Member:	

Examiners: Please use the reverse side of this form for written commentary as needed.

Instructions for completing this form:

implications may include social, economic, technical,

ethical, business, etc. aspects

Submit one form to Integrative Biology, 3029 Cordley or email to: durrellt@science.oregonstate.edu Evaluation should represent the assessment of all members of the committee; this assessment for each category may be expressed as marks representing each committee member or as a single consensus mark.